**UNDERLYING ASSUMPTIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS OF INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS AND MULTIPLIERS**

1. "The *basic assumptions* in IO analysis include the following:

- there is a fixed input structure in each industry, described by fixed technological coefficients (evidence from comparisons between IO tables for the same country over time have indicated that material input requirements tend to be stable and change but slowly; however, requirements for primary factors of production, that is labour and capital, are probably less constant);
- all products of an industry are identical or are made in fixed proportions to each other;
- each industry exhibits constant returns to scale in production;
- unlimited labour and capital are available at fixed prices; that is, any change in the demand for productive factors will not induce any change in their cost (in reality, constraints such as limited skilled labour or investment funds lead to competition for resources among industries, which in turn raises the prices of these scarce factors of production and of industry output generally in the face of strong demand); and
- there are no other constraints, such as the balance of payments or the actions of government, on the response of each industry to a stimulus.

2. The multipliers therefore describe *average effects, not marginal effects*, and thus do not take account of economies of scale, unused capacity or technological change. Generally, average effects are expected to be higher than the marginal effects.

3. The IO tables underlying multiplier analysis only take account of one form of *interdependence,* namely the sales and purchase links between industries. Other interdependence such as collective competition for factors of production, changes in commodity prices which induce producers and consumers to alter the mix of their purchases and other constraints which operate on the economy as a whole are not generally taken into account.

4. The combination of the assumptions used and the excluded interdependence means that IO multipliers are higher than would realistically be the case. In other words, they tend to *overstate* the potential impact of final demand stimulus. The overstatement is potentially more serious when large changes in demand and production are considered.

5. The multipliers also do not account for some important pre-existing conditions. This is especially true of Type II multipliers, in which employment generated and income earned induce further increases in demand. The implicit assumption is that those taken into employment were previously unemployed and were previously consuming nothing. In reality, however, not all 'new' employment would be drawn from the ranks of the unemployed; and to the extent that it was, those previously unemployed would presumably have consumed out of income support measures and personal savings. Employment, output and income responses are therefore overstated by the multipliers for these additional reasons.

6. The most *appropriate interpretation* of multipliers is that they provide a relative measure (to be compared with other industries) of the interdependence between one industry and the rest of the economy which arises solely from purchases and sales of industry output based on estimates of transactions occurring over a (recent) historical period. Progressive departure from these conditions would progressively reduce the precision of multipliers as predictive device" (ABS 1995, p.24).

Multipliers indicate the total impact of changes in demand for the output of any one industry on all industries in an economy (ABS, 1995). Conventional output, employment, value-added and income

multipliers show the output, employment, value-added and income responses to an initial output stimulus (Jensen and West, 1986).

Components of the conventional output multiplier are as follows:

*Initial effect* - which is the initial output stimulus, usually a $1 change in output from a particular industry (Powell and Chalmers, 1995; ABS, 1995).

*First round effects* - the amount of output from all intermediate sectors of the economy required to produce the initial $1 change in output from the particular industry (Powell and Chalmers, 1995; ABS, 1995).

*Industrial support effects* - the subsequent or induced extra output from intermediate sectors arising from the first round effects (Powell and Chalmers, 1995; ABS, 1995).

*Production induced effects* - the sum of the first round effects and industrial support effects (i.e. the total amount of output from all industries in the economy required to produce the initial $1 change in output) (Powell and Chalmers, 1995; ABS, 1995).

*Consumption induced effects* - the spending by households of the extra income they derive from the production of the extra $1 of output and production induced effects. This spending in turn generates further production by industries (Powell and Chalmers, 1995; ABS, 1995).

The *simple multiplier* is the initial effect plus the production induced effects.

The *total multiplier* is the sum of the initial effect plus the production-induced effect and consumption-induced effect.

Conventional employment, value-added and income multipliers have similar components to the output multiplier, however, through conversion using the respective coefficients show the employment, value-added and income responses to an initial output stimulus (Jensen and West, 1986).

For employment, value-added and income, it is also possible to derive relationships between the initial or own sector effect and flow-on effects. For example, the flow-on income effects from an initial income effect or the flow-on employment effects from an initial employment effect, etc. These own sector relationships are referred to as ratio multipliers, although they are not technically multipliers because there is no direct line of causation between the elements of the multiplier. For instance, it is not the initial change in income that leads to income flow-on effects, both are the result of an output stimulus (Jensen and West, 1986).

A description of the different ratio multipliers is given below.

Type 1A Ratio Multiplier = $\dfrac{\text{Initial + First Round Effects}}{\text{Initial Effects}}$

Type 1B Ratio Multiplier = $\dfrac{\text{Initial + Production Induced Effects}}{\text{Initial Effects}}$

Type 11A Ratio Multiplier = $\dfrac{\text{Initial + Production Induced + Consumption Induced Effects}}{\text{Initial Effects}}$

Type 11B Ratio Multiplier = $\dfrac{\text{Flow-on Effects}}{\text{Initial Effects}}$

Source:  Centre for Farm Planning and Land Management (1989).

**REFERENCES**

Australian Bureau of Statistics (1995) *Information Paper Australian National Accounts Introduction to Input-Output Multipliers.* Cat. No. 5246.0.

Centre for Farm Planning and Land Management (1989) *Consultants report to State plantations impact study.* CFPLM, University of Melbourne.

Jensen, R. and West, G. (1986) *Input-output for Practitioners: Theory and Applications.*  Prepared for Department of Local Government and Administrative Services, Local Government and Regional Development Division, Australian Government Publishing Service.

Powell, R. and Chalmers, L. (1995) *The Regional Economic Impact of Gibraltar Range and Dorrigo National Park.* A Report for the NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service.